

Open Midplane Dipole R&D Program

P. Wanderer, BNL

December 14, 2004

LARP Dipole Review at BNL

Topics

- Goal
- Hardware -- existing & to be built
- Schedule - high level milestones
- R&D with LBL subcoils
- R&D with BNL coils
- Cost of conductor
- Financial support
- Interaction with 12T CC program

Goal

By the end of FY07, design, build, and test a proof-of-principle (POP) magnet to assess the feasibility of using an open midplane dipole in an upgrade of the LHC IRs.

POP Key Features

- $\int B dl$, with operating margin
- Quench protection system
- Aperture for beams
 - Horizontal $>$ vertical, needed much more at the downstream end of the magnet
 - Ability to achieve desired field quality
- Aperture for beam losses
 - Heating, radiation damage
- Finite impact on LHC cryo system

Plans

- Quick start on a key issue: assemble LBL subcoils in an existing BNL fixture to study the performance of a magnet with an open midplane. FY05
- R&D with POP magnet: design-specific, fixture and technology-independent coils - test, iterate as needed. FY05 - FY07.

R&D with LBL subcoils (1)

- Support structure: Add spacers & longer bolts to existing BNL common coil fixturing
- Instrumentation: voltage taps molded into coils + (if feasible) strain gauges
- Turnaround between tests (e.g., to adjust preload) < 2 weeks
- Want to complete tests in FY05.

R&D with LBL subcoils (2)

- Test with at least two configurations:
 - No midplane gap - to check all features of assembly (e.g., splices, preload) except gap
 - Finite midplane gap with azimuthal support set so that coil strain \sim strain in POP coil
- Vary preload by changing shim thickness
 - Can change preload in all 3 dimensions

Open Midplane POP Dipole

- Plan: initial test, iterate mechanical structure and/or coils, retest
- Test results available by fall, 2007
- Will use either R&W or W&R coils as necessary and/or appropriate. If coils are W&R, will copy existing methods to make them.

Conductor Cost

- Magnet length chosen to preserve the option to use W&R coils
- Oven $\sim 1\text{m} \Rightarrow$ magnet total length $\sim \frac{1}{2}$ m.
- Conductor is $\sim \$100\text{k}$ (unloaded) per coil set (coil set = 1 magnet). Buy 2 sets plus 0.5 set spare.

Financial Support

- Present LARP budget for dipole design (3 institutions):
 - FY05: \$203k
 - FY06: \$2501k
 - FY07: \$2072k
- Base program support of dipole work:
 - FY05: \$200k

FY05

- Assemble, test LBL subscale coils
- Complete conceptual design by Mar 05
 - Begin detailed coil, coil tooling design
- *Design* task: \$547k
 - test POP summer 07 \Rightarrow fast start on design
- *Build/test* task: \$73k + $n \times \$40k$
 - $n = \#$ cold tests after 1st one
- Available: \$203k LARP + \$200k base

FY06

- Order Nb₃Sn Oct 1, '05
 - 9 months to delivery of cable
- Begin detailed of design cold mass tooling, top hat, dewar on Oct. 1, '05
- *Design* task: \$1156k
- *Build* task: \$559k
- Above costs are BNL only.
- Funding: \$2501k LARP + base

FY07

- Order cold mass parts, tooling, dewar
- Fabricate parts, assemble, test magnet
- *Design* task: \$854k
- *Build/test* task: \$748k (1 ass'y, 1 test)
- Above costs are BNL only.
- Funding: \$2072k

Common Coil (12T) results may affect R&W/W&R choice

- Issues:

- Stability of cable with high R_I (due to use of Mobil 1 during cable reaction)

- Bend strain sensitivity

- Common coil tests:

- Coils w. $J_c \sim 2000 \text{ A/mm}^2$ (MJR) \sim May 05

- Coils w. $J_c \sim 3000 \text{ A/mm}^2$ (RRP) \sim fall 05